



REVIEWED FEBRUARY 2024 - CAPSULE 11

Understanding A1C Targets and Possible Factors for Discordance

CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS: Mohammad Shalabe, MD, PA-C; Kathleen Dungan, MD, MPH; Colin Crowe, MD, on behalf of Team Best Practices

The glycated hemoglobin test, also referred to as HbA1C, is a measure of the proportion of hemoglobin molecules that have been glycated and reflects the plasma glucose concentration in the past 120 days (life span of erythrocytes).

The HbA1C goal should be individualized based upon patient characteristics, including age, treatment burden, hypoglycemia risk, longevity, complications, comorbidities, preferences, and capability/motivation of the patient (Table 1).¹

Randomized controlled trials in patients with type 1 and early type 2 diabetes have demonstrated that an HbA1C target of 7% or less reduces microvascular complications. However, the effect of glucose control on macrovascular disease is modest, and observed only with early implementation and prolonged follow-up (10 years or more).²

HbA1C results are not affected significantly by acute fluctuations in blood glucose concentrations, such as with illness or after meals, and may not reflect recent changes in treatment regimens nor recent changes in glycemic control.¹ HbA1C is lower in Caucasians compared to other racial and ethnic groups when accounting for average glucose derived by other methods.

However, the prognostic value in predicting complications is similar across race or ethnicity, and targets do not differ by race or ethnicity. If the HbA1C is felt to be unreliable or discordant with glucose monitoring (see Table 2), alternative markers of glycemic control, such as fructosamine, glycated albumin, or continuous glucose monitoring can be considered.³ Table 2 was developed by Cardi-OH as a resource to guide clinicians in identifying explanations for possible A1C discordance.

For more information, access Cardi-OH's expanded resource on glucose monitoring.

Table 1. Glucose Targets Based on Patient Characteristics¹

Health Status	A1C (%)	Fasting/premeal (mg/dl)	Peak Postprandial (mg/dl)	Bedtime (mg/dl)	
General Population					
Healthy*	7.0	80-130	180	*	
Older Adults					
Healthy	7.5	90-130	*	90-150	
Intermediate	8.0	90-150	*	100-180	
Poor	8.5	100-180	*	110-200	

^{*}Targets should be individualized based upon patient characteristics, including age, treatment burden, hypoglycemia risk, longevity, complications, comorbidities, preferences, and patient capability/motivation.

Table 2. Patient Conditions Associated with A1C Discordance

Falsely Decreased A1C³ (A1C result is lower than expected based on glucose monitoring)	Falsely Increased A1C (A1C result is higher than expected based on glucose monitoring)	
Hemoglobin variants	Hemoglobin variants	
Acute blood loss*	Chronic kidney disease (low erythropoietin)	
Hemolytic anemia*	Iron-deficiency anemia*	
Vitamin C	Hypertriglyceridemia	
Vitamin E	Hyperbilirubinemia	
Pregnancy	Uremia	
Hemodialysis	Chronic alcohol use	
Blood transfusion	Chronic salicylate use	
Erythropoietin/hydroxyurea treatment	Chronic opiate use	
	Age (0.1% per decade after age 30)	

*Any condition which affects red blood cell turnover can affect the HbA1C regardless of assay used. The effect on the HbA1C may also depend on the timing and type of treatment (e.g., erythropoietin will acutely increase red blood cell turnover in patients with chronic kidney disease and HbA1C may shift from falsely high to falsely low). Other variables may be assay-dependent.

References

- 1. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2023;46(1): S1-S291.
- Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HA. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(15):1577-1589. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0806470.
- Sacks DB, Arnold M, Bakris GL, et al. Guidelines and recommendations for laboratory analysis in the diagnosis
 and management of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2011 Jun;34(6):e61-99. doi:10.2337/dc11-9998.

The Ohio Cardiovascular and Diabetes Health Collaborative is funded by the Ohio Department of Medicaid and administered by the Ohio Colleges of Medicine Government Resource Center. The views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors and do not represent the views of the state of Ohio or federal Medicaid programs.

For more information head to Cardi-OH.org

© 2021 Cardi-OH